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ABSTRACT

Background: The optimal program for pulmonary rehabilitation likely varies from patient to patient and depends on individual limiting factors. We wanted to determine the typical exercise duration in our patients to use as a basis for modifying individual rehabilitation components.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of patients who completed the pulmonary rehabilitation program at University Medical Center in Lubbock, TX. We collected demographic, pulmonary function, and 6-minute walk test information. Patients exercised with treadmills, arm ergometers, recumbent stair steppers, and recumbent bicycles; we recorded the initial and follow-up modality duration at four week intervals.

Results: This study included 119 patients with a mean age of 68.8 ± 10.1 years. Most patients (95) had COPD. The mean FEV1 was 1.3 ± 0.7 L (47.2 ± 19.7 % predicted). The baseline gait speed was 41 ± 15 meters per minute. The initial total exercise duration was 30.5 ± 7.0 minutes. These times increased to 41.2 ± 8.8, 44.6 ± 10.7 and 47.0 ± 12.0 minutes at 4, 8 and 12 weeks of rehabilitation.

Conclusions: Most patients increased their total exercise duration to 40 minutes. This goal can identify patients who are not making progress and need alternative strategies and patients who have made good progress and might benefit from additional training, such as lower extremity strength exercises.
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Pulmonary rehabilitation programs provide significant benefit to patients with chronic lung disease; these include increased physical endurance, less dyspnea, improved health-related quality of life, and possibly decreased health care costs 1. Comprehensive multicomponent sessions likely provide the best outcomes. However, the best strategy and use of time probably varies from patient to patient 2-4. Our program emphasizes aerobic fitness using four exercise modalities, including the treadmill, a recumbent bicycle, an arm ergometer, and a recumbent stair stepper. Individual adjustments to the exercise prescription are made as needed at each session based on recent progress, vital signs, and any ongoing health problems. We wanted to determine the usual exercise duration in our patients over the course of a rehabilitation program to establish a basis for modifying rehabilitation in individual patients.
METHODS

Subjects: We retrospectively collected information on patients who completed pulmonary rehabilitation at University Medical Center (Lubbock, TX) in the years 2010, 2011, and the first half of 2012. These patients have been referred to the rehabilitation center by their private physicians and met Medicare criteria for eligibility for pulmonary rehabilitation. We included all patients who completed at least two 6-minute walk tests. We did not limit patient entry to particular diagnoses. We collected information of demographics, medical diagnoses, current symptoms, medication use, pulmonary function results, and questionnaire information, including SF36 and a dyspnea score. The dyspnea index was taken from the “Guidelines for Pulmonary Rehabilitation” 2nd edition, 1998, chapter 2, page 24. There are 14 questions about dyspnea in routine situations ranging from “at rest” to “walking up a hill” with responses ranging from 1 (minimal) to 4 (unable to do). We collected information on comorbidities using the Charlson index. Patients completed 6-minute walk tests at the beginning of the rehabilitation program, every 4 weeks during the program, and at completion of rehabilitation. The distance was recorded in feet, and the gait speed was calculated using the time and the distance after conversion into meters and reported as meters per minute. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center in Lubbock, Texas.

Rehabilitation protocol: During each rehabilitation session, vital signs and modality durations were recorded. Patients exercised on treadmills, recumbent bicycles, arm ergometers, and recumbent stair steppers; the program was individualized to obtain optimal performance and endurance. The goal was to increase modality duration to 15 minutes per modality per session. Individual adjustments to the exercise prescription were made at each session based on recent progress, current vital signs, changes in symptoms which might represent early flares, and recent medical care.

Analysis: Descriptive information was analyzed using means ± standard deviations, medians with interquartile ranges, and counts (frequency) and percentages. Comparisons between groups were made with t-tests, paired t-tests, or chi-square tests. Differences in machine time over the rehabilitation program were compared using analysis of variance. These data were analyzed with SPSS version 20 (IBM, Inc, Armonk, NY), and P-values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

This study included 119 patients with a mean age of 68.8 ± 10.1 years. Sixty-two subjects were men (52.1%), and most patients had COPD/asthma (95, 79.8%). Other diagnoses included pulmonary fibrosis (14, 11.8%), obstructive sleep apnea (7, 5.9%), and miscellaneous disorders (3, 2.5%). The mean comorbidity index was 0.92 ± 1.0. Ninety-seven percent of subjects reported significant dyspnea with a median score of 24 (interquartile 25% to 75% range: 16-33). The initial 6-minute walk distance was 215.5 ± 110.7 meters; the final distance was 260.7 ± 109.1 meters. The initial gait speed was 41 ± 15 meters per minute; the final gait speed was 47 ± 15 meters per minute. The overall program duration in rehabilitation was 19.3 ± 7.4 weeks; this time included gaps for acute illness and unavoidable personal and family responsibilities.

The mean baseline total modality duration was 30.5 ± 7.0 minutes; the median was 30.0 minutes (interquartile range: 26-34 minutes). The distribution of initial times is displayed in Figure 1. Total modality time increased after four weeks and appeared to plateau at four weeks (Table 1). The modality durations at 4, 8, and 12 weeks were significantly higher than the baseline time (p< 0.05 by analysis of variance). There were significant correlations between the final total modality time at the end of rehabilitation and both the 6-minute walk distance after rehabilitation (r= 0.313, P=0.001) and the change in 6-minute walk distance after rehabilitation (r= 0.251, P=0.006). Calorie consumption on the treadmill at baseline was 22.6 ± 11.2 calories (based on METS and time); this increased to 42.4 ± 22.2 calories at 12 weeks.
Table 1: Exercise time over the course of pulmonary rehabilitation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>Treadmill</th>
<th>Stair Stepper</th>
<th>Arm Ergometer</th>
<th>Recumbent Bicycle</th>
<th>Total Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>7.9 ± 2.7*</td>
<td>8.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>30.5 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>10.7 ± 4.1</td>
<td>11.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>10.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td>10.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>41.2 ± 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>12.0 ± 4.4</td>
<td>12.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>11.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>11.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>44.6 ± 10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 12</td>
<td>12.7 ± 4.8</td>
<td>13.3 ± 3.6</td>
<td>12.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>12.5 ± 3.1</td>
<td>47.0 ± 12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Time in minutes
**Discussion**

Our patients increased their total exercise times on the four machines used to improve aerobic fitness, their 6-minute walk distances, and their gait speeds during rehabilitation. Our results suggest that we should expect patients to increase their total machine time to 40 minutes after four weeks of participation. Patients below this level need to be reevaluated to consider limiting factors and possible changes in their program. Patients above 40 minutes should have their work load increased during exercise and spend additional time with other activities, such as upper and lower extremity strength training.

Outcomes assessments with pulmonary rehabilitation programs can be based on sophisticated measurements, such VO2 max and maximum watts using incremental exercise tests, endurance time on an exercise cycle, changes in daily activity, 6-minute walk distances, and, perhaps, patient specific goals. Modality time provides a relatively simple measure of progress and correlates with six minute walk distance in our patient cohort. However, it may not correlate with VO2 max. Ong and coworkers reported that changes in VO2 max correlated with changes in maximum watts but not with other outcome parameters. Therefore, improvement in one physical activity may not correlate with changes in another. The importance of this will depend on the patient and program goals. High intensity training may help patients achieve new physical goals, whereas low intensity training may largely help patients sustain current activities with less discomfort. Also, the training method and requisite assessment will affect equipment and testing requirements and costs.

High intensity training aims for workloads at 80% of VO2 max and above the anaerobic threshold. Training sessions will need heart rate monitoring and close supervision. Low intensity training involves sustained exercise at lower VO2s and can be based on time and symptoms. Interval training alternates from high intensity to low intensity and is based on time and symptoms. Most studies suggest that outcomes with high intensity training are similar to lower intensity continuous training. Gloeckl and coworkers compared interval training (30 seconds at peak work followed by 30 seconds of rest) with continuous training at 60% of peak work in 60 lung transplant candidates. The 6-minute walk distance increased 35 meters in both groups. Many older chronically ill patients will find high intensity session very uncomfortable. In addition, this approach likely requires more personnel time to monitor safety and provide motivation. We base our training sessions on symptoms and had a similar increase in 6-minute walk distance. This approach eliminates the need for formal exercise testing and possibly increases patient comfort during rehabilitation sessions.

Rehabilitation programs need to balance individual patients’ goals with available time. In our opinion the program goal for most patients should include: treadmill (15 minutes), arm ergometer (10 minutes), stair stepper (10 minutes), and bicycle (10 minutes). These goals focus on lower extremity strength and endurance which are crucial for routine daily activities. As the patient builds up aerobic conditioning, strength training should be added with a focus on the legs. Since time constraints limit the total time available for supervised exercise, patients should walk at least 20 minutes and use light arm weights on off days. Patients need frequent monitoring during this process, and gait speed measurements provide a quick assessment of progress. Patients who do not reach 40 minutes of total exercise time by four weeks need reassessment to identify all limiting factors.
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