Neurointerventional therapy for large vessel occlusion stroke: the new standard of care

Pavis Laengvejkal MD, Doungporn Ruthirago MD, Parunyou Julayanont MD, and Yazan Alderazi MD

For the past two decades, intravenous tissue plasminogen activator (IV tPA) has been the gold standard treatment of acute ischemic stroke (AIS) for patients presenting to the hospital in the first 4.5 hours after symptom onset. However, in patients with AIS due to intracranial large vessel occlusion (LVO), IV tPA has very poor recanalization rates. This group of patients has significantly worse outcomes than those without LVO. Endovascular therapy has evolved significantly since the first trial in 1998. With the publication of recent trials using modern stent-retriever devices and selection of patients with LVO, endovascular therapy has become the standard of care for patients with the most severe ischemic strokes. In this article we outline the two decade evolution of this therapy.

FIRST GENERATION ENDOVASCULAR TRIALS

In an attempt to increase recanalization rates in AIS patients, the first randomized controlled trial (RCT) using intra-arterial thrombolysis (pro-urokinase), Prolyse in Acute Cerebral Thromboembolism (PROACT), was completed in 1998.¹ This study showed superiority in recanalization in acute LVO stroke compared with placebo but unfortunately also an increased risk of symptomatic hemorrhage. In spite of this initial result, pro-urokinase was taken off of the market.

Corresponding author: Doungporn Ruthirago Contact Information: Doungporn.ruthirago @ttuhsc.edu DOI: 10.12746/swrccc2016.0416.216

With the hope for better treatment options, additional trials of endovascular intervention were completed. The interventions included intra-arterial thrombolysis using alteplase tPA and the Merci thrombectomy device. In 2013, three studies were published, "IMS III, MR RESCUE, and SYNTHESIS Expansion".^{2, 3, 4} These three multicenter, prospective RCTs showed no benefit in the intervention arm but also showed no additional risk of symptomatic bleeding after the intervention. Several concerns were raised regarding some aspects of these trials, including non-universal determination of LVO, use of first generation lower-efficacy devices such as the Merci device, the use of intra-arterial tPA without device in some trials (e.g., 66% of endovascular patients in the SYNTHESIS trial were treated with intra-arterial tPA alone), high utilization of intra-arterial tPA and heparin, and slow randomization to arterial puncture time (Table 1 and Table 2).

While these three trials were being conducted, two additional RCTs, SWIFT and TREVO, examined the efficacy and safety of second generation devices (stent retrievers) compared to first generation devices (Merci).^{5, 6} The studies demonstrated significantly better recanalization rates with the new devices without any excess in hemorrhagic complications (Table 3).

Strength	Weakness
Randomized evaluation	Non-universal determination of LVO
Allowed systems for endovascular	Use of first generation lower-efficacy devices e.g. Merci
clinical trials to be set up	
Established evidence base for IA	IA tPA without device in some trials (e.g., 66% of endo-
tPA safety profile	vascular patients)
	High utilization of IA tPA & Heparin
	Slow randomization to puncture time

Table 1	Strengths and	weaknesses	of first	generation	endovascul	ar stroke RCTs
---------	---------------	------------	----------	------------	------------	----------------

LVO-Large vessel occlusion, IA- intra-arterial, tPA- tissue plasminogen activator

Table 2	First	generation	endovascular	<i>RCTs</i>
---------	-------	------------	--------------	-------------

Study	Window/	LVO determi-	Intervention	Comparison	Outcome	Result
	Eligibility	nation				
	feature					
PROACT	< 6 hr	Yes	IA tPA	IV Heparin	$mRS \le 2$ at	n=180
1999 ¹					90 days	40% vs 25% P=0.4
						sICH 10% vs 2 % p=0.06
IMS III	<3-4.5hrs	Not universal	IV tPA + IAT (IA	IV tPA	$mRS \le 2$ at	n= 656
2013 ²	But IAT in		tPA, Merci)		90 days	40.8% vs 38.7%
	6 hrs					CI, -6.1 to 9.1
						sICH 6.2% vs 5.9%
MR RES-	< 8 hr	Yes Anterior	Merci/Penumbra	Standard care	Mean mRS	n=118
CUE		circulation		(IV tPA or	at 90 days	3.9 vs 3.9 Mortality 21%,
2013 ³				aspirin)		sICH 4% both groups
						No interaction: treatment w pen-
						umbral pattern
SYNTHE-	<4.5hr but	No	IAT : (IA tPA full	IV tPA	$mRS \le 1$ at	n=362
SIS	IAT in 6hr		dose, Merci +		90 days	30.4% vs 34.8%
2013 ⁴			Heparin 5000 IU			sICH 6% vs 6 %
			bolus & 500iu/hr)			

IAT, intra-arterial thrombolysis; LVO, large vessel occlusion; IA tPA, intra-arterial tissue plasminogen activator; IV tPA, intra-venous tissue plasminogen activator; mRS, modified ranking scale; sICH, symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage

Study	Window/	LVO deter-	Intervention	Comparison	Outcome	Result
	Eligibility	mination				
	feature					
SWIFT	8hrs	Yes	Solitaire	Merci	TIMI scale ≥2	N=113
2012 ⁵	NIHSS					61% vs 24%
						OR 4·87 (14);
						p=0.0001
TREVO	8hrs	Yes	Trevo	Merci	TICI scale ≥2	N= 90
2012 ⁶	NIHSS 8-29					86% vs 60%
						OR 4·22,
						(12);
						p<0.0001

 Table 3 First generation devices versus second generation devices RCTs

NIHSS- National institute of health stroke scale; LVO- large vessel occlusion; TIMI- thrombolysis in myocardial infarction; TICI- thrombolysis in cerebral infarction

Second generation endovascular trials

Having learned the limitations in the initial studies and with cautious enthusiasm from the device vs. device trials, new endovascular stroke trials were designed and conducted. These second generation RCTs had universal determination of the presence of LVO prior to randomization. Several of the studies initiated optimized workflow protocols to achieve faster randomization to arterial puncture times, and all of the studies used second generation stent-retriever mechanical thrombectomy devices. The first of these, MR CLEAN, was published in late 2014.7 This study compared endovascular therapy to best medical treatment in stroke patients with LVO who presented within six hours of symptom onset. Following the presentation of this study at the 2014 World Stroke Congress, multiple endovascular trials were stopped by the respective data safety and monitoring boards due to efficacy of endovascular therapy on interim analysis. The four RCTs (ESCAPE, REVASCAT, EXTEND IA, and SWIFT PRIME) showed benefit of endovascular stroke therapy and were presented and published in 2015 (Table 4).^{8, 9, 10, 11} These five studies benefited from the first generation trials by optimizing

study design and conduct. However, there were still unanswered questions and limitations to these studies (Table 5).

Guidelines, data synthesis and Meta-analyses

In the summer of 2015 the American Hearth Association/American Stroke Association guidelines were updated to reflect changes in the evidence base. The recommendations based on Class I evidence included administration of tPA in eligible patients even if endovascular therapy was considered and administration of endovascular therapy to adult patients with appropriate LVO ischemic stroke presenting within six hours of symptom onset who meet additional clinical and radiological criteria (stroke severity, CT ASPECT score ≥ 6). The recommendations emphasized the preference for second generation devices (stent-retrievers) over intra-arterial tPA. Also strongly recommended was the need for rapid evaluation of stroke patients with non-invasive imaging to determine presence of LVO stroke.

Study	Window	LVO determi-	Intervention	Comparison	Outcome	Result	NNTB
	Eligibility	nation					
	feature						
MR	≤6hr	Yes (ICA,	Stent-retriever*	IV tPA	mRS at 90	n=500	7 for mRS 0-2
CLEAN		MCA) CTA	+IV tPA		days	32.6% vs 19.1%	3.4 for mRS shift
2014 ⁷						OR 1.67 CI 1.21 to 2.3	
						No difference sICH	
ESCAPE	≤12hr	Yes (ICA,	Stent-retriever*	IV tPA	mRS at 90	n=316	4 for mRS 0-2
2015 ⁸	Multiphase	MCA)	+IV tPA		days	53.0%, vs 29.3%	3 for mRS shift
	СТА	СТА				OR 2.6; CI, 1.7 to 3.8; P<0.001	
						Death 10.4% vs 19% p=0.04	
						sICH 3.6 vs 2.7%	
RE-	≤8hr	Yes (ICA,	Stent-retriever	IV tPA	mRS (shift	n=206	7 for mRS 0-2
VASCAT	IV tPA	MCA)	+/-IV tPA		analysis) at	OR 1.7; CI 1.05-2.8	
2015 ⁹	failure	СТА			90 days	mRS 0-2: 43.7% vs 28.2%	
	Or IV tPA				mRS (0-2)	sICH 1.9% in both groups	
	contraindi-				at 90 days	Death 18.4% vs 15.5%	
	cated						
EXTEND	≤4.5hr	Yes (ICA,	Solitaire +IV	IV tPA	Reperfu-	n=70	NR
IA	6hr IAT	MCA)	tPA		sion @24	Reperfusion: 100% vs 37%	
2015 ¹⁰	CTP core	СТА			& early	p<0.001	
	<70ml				neuro Im-	Early improve: 80% vs 37%	
					provement	mRS 71% vs 40% p=0.01	
						sICH & mortality no difference	
SWIFT	≤4.5hr	Yes (ICA,	Solitaire +IV	IV tPA	mRS 0-2 at	n=196	4 for mRS 0-2
PRIME	6hr IAT	MCA)	tPA		90 days	60% vs 35%, p<0.001	
2015 11	CTP small	CTA				Mortality 9% vs 12%	
	core 50ml					sICH 0% vs 3%	
	(71pts)						
	ASPECTS						
	≥6 (125pts)						

 Table 4
 Second generation endovascular RCTs

*Allowed other devices Abbreviations: CTA- computed tomography angiography; CTP-computed tomography perfusion; ASPECTs-Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score; ICA- internal carotid artery; MCA- middle cerebral artery; IV tPA- intravenous tissue plasminogen activator; mRS- modified ranking scale; sICH- symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage; NNTB- number needed to treat for benefit; NR- not reported

Strength	Weakness
Established class 1 evidence for endovascular	Most patients treated within 8hrs of symptom onset
stroke intervention	(unclear if treatment beyond this is beneficial)
All primarily used stent-retrievers	Wake up strokes excluded in most studies
All confirmed presence of LVO	Posterior circulation strokes excluded
All treated patients quickly	Unclear if CTP is of added benefit within 6 hrs
Majority used CT/CTA based systems	Unclear if CTP is of added benefit after 6hrs
Established workable time targets	

Table 5 Strengths and weaknesses of second generation endovascular stroke RCTs

LVO- Large vessel occlusion, CT-computed tomography, CTA-computed tomography angiography, CTP- computed tomography perfusion imaging

After the publication of the guidelines several attempts at data synthesis using traditional meta-analyses and individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis were conducted. The traditional meta-analysis combined the results from first and second generation trials and demonstrated that the overall result still demonstrated superiority of endovascular therapy compared to best medical therapy in acute ischemic stroke.¹² Subsequent to this the HERMES clinical trial collaboration pooled the results of the trials in an individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis to address some of the subgroups who were under-represented in the individual trials (Table 5).13 The results confirmed the overall superiority of endovascular therapy. Also, the IPD meta-analysis demonstrated substantial efficacy of endovascular therapy within the late >5 hour window without an increase in symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage; most of the patients treated late were treated between 5-8 hours. Furthermore, patients who were ineligible for tPA also benefited from endovascular therapy compared with conservative management. Interestingly, the IPD meta-analysis confirmed initial observations from subgroup analvsis of the individual trials in that the treatment effect was modified by age. Although the therapy was positive in all age groups, patients who were older than 80 years had an even higher benefit from endovascular therapy.

Last, it is important to note that the overall ef-

fect size of endovascular therapy is large. The number needed to treat for benefit (NNTB) for functional independence with endovascular therapy in AIS ranges from 4 in the ESCAPE and SWIFT PRIME trials to 7 in MR CLEAN and REVASCAT. When meaningful improvement in disability (modified Rankin scale shift) was used, the NNTB was 2.6 based on the HERMES meta-analysis. This contrasts with the previous standard of care, intravenous tPA vs. placebo, which had an NNTB to achieve normal functional status of 8-15 depending on the time to treatment delay. A recent study analyzing cost-effectiveness among second generation endovascular RCTs found that adding endovascular treatment to standard stroke therapy such as IV tPA is not only cost-effective but also cost saving.14

While stent retriever thrombectomy was the first endovascular technique to show efficacy in pivotal randomized controlled trials of large vessel occlusion stroke, other modern techniques have also been developed. Aspiration thrombectomy using large bore intracranial catheters either alone or in combination with stent retrievers and augmentation of stent retrievers with aspiration via balloon guided catheters have shown promising results in observational studies.^{15,16} The first randomized trial comparing some of these techniques with a novel stent retriever (Penumbra 3D Revascularization Device) has been completed with encouraging preliminary results, suggesting comparable efficacy of aspiration thrombectomy alone and stent retriever assisted thrombectomy.¹⁷ We await the final results and critical appraisal of this study and other ongoing studies that may inform device and technique selection for stroke thrombectomy. Expanding the armamentarium available to neurointerventionalists may increase recanalization rates and shorten arterial puncture to recanalization times. It is biologically plausible and consistent with available evidence that should these improvements be realized increased efficacy and safety of mechanical thrombectomy for large vessel occlusion stroke should be possible.

CONCLUSIONS

Several clinical trials, registries, and thousands of treated patients were required to refine the patient selection, device development, stroke response workflow, and clinical trials design. All of this was necessary to establish a firm evidence base for this "new" therapy. However, there are many unanswered questions left: What to do with patients who wake up with stroke symptoms and patients with unknown time of onset? What is the upper limit of the time window? Do we need additional imaging or clinical criteria to select patients at delayed time windows? Should pediatric stroke patients also be included? Is there a role for augmenting the effect of mechanical thrombolysis using medications, such as antithrombotic medications, neuroprotective agents or cell-based therapies? The next generation of endovascular stroke RCTs is already underway to address some of these questions.

Until the results of these next studies are available, current healthcare providers, health system directors, and policy makers have the task of implementing endovascular therapy for all eligible patients to decrease the disability from this disease. This will require restructuring of programs, additional personnel, and system wide coordination. The time is now to deliver the new standard of care. Author Affiliations: Pavis Laengvejkal, Doungporn Ruthirago, and Parunyou Julayanont are residents in the Department of Neurology at Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center in Lubbock, TX. Yazan Alderazi is an interventional neurologist in that department. Submitted: 7/11/2016 Accepted: 9/4/2016 Reviewers: Subasit Acharji MD Published electronically: 9/15/2016 Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None

REFERENCES

1. Furlan A, Higashida R, Wechsler L, Gent M, Rowley H, Kase C, Pessin M, Ahuja A, Callahan F, Clark WM, Silver F, and Rivera F. Intra-arterial prourokinase for acute ischemic stroke. The PROACT II study: a randomized controlled trial. Prolyse in Acute Cerebral Thromboembolism. JAMA 282: 2003-2011, 1999.4.

2. Broderick JP, Palesch YY, Demchuk AM, Yeatts SD, Khatri P, Hill MD, Jauch EC, Jovin TG, Yan B, Silver FL, von Kummer R, Molina CA, Demaerschalk BM, Budzik R, Clark WM, Zaidat OO, Malisch TW, Goyal M, Schonewille WJ, Mazighi M, Engelter ST, Anderson C, Spilker J, Carrozzella J, Ryckborst KJ, Janis LS, Martin RH, Foster LD, Tomsick TA, and Investigators IMSIII. Endovascular therapy after intravenous t-PA versus t-PA alone for stroke. N Engl J Med 368: 893-903, 2013.

3. Kidwell CS, Jahan R, Gornbein J, Alger JR, Nenov V, Ajani Z, Feng L, Meyer BC, Olson S, Schwamm LH, Yoo AJ, Marshall RS, Meyers PM, Yavagal DR, Wintermark M, Guzy J, Starkman S, Saver JL, and Investigators MR RESCUE. A trial of imaging selection and endovascular treatment for ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 368: 914-923, 2013.

4. Ciccone A, Valvassori L, and Investigators SYNTHE-SIS. Endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 368: 2433-2434, 2013.

5. Saver JL, Jahan R, Levy EI, Jovin TG, Baxter B, Nogueira RG, Clark W, Budzik R, Zaidat OO, and Trialists S. Solitaire flow restoration device versus the Merci Retriever in patients with acute ischaemic stroke (SWIFT): a randomised, parallel-group, non-inferiority trial. Lancet 380: 1241-1249, 2012.

6. Nogueira RG, Lutsep HL, Gupta R, Jovin TG, Albers GW, Walker GA, Liebeskind DS, Smith WS, and Trialists T. Trevo versus Merci retrievers for thrombectomy revascularisation of large vessel occlusions in acute ischaemic stroke (TREVO 2): a randomised trial. Lancet 380: 1231-1240, 2012. 7. Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, van den Berg LA, Lingsma HF, Yoo AJ, Schonewille WJ, Vos JA, Nederkoorn PJ, Wermer MJ, van Walderveen MA, Staals J, Hofmeijer J, van Oostayen JA, Lycklama à Nijeholt GJ, Boiten J, Brouwer PA, Emmer BJ, de Bruijn SF, van Dijk LC, Kappelle LJ, Lo RH, van Dijk EJ, de Vries J, de Kort PL, van Rooij WJ, van den Berg JS, van Hasselt BA, Aerden LA, Dallinga RJ, Visser MC, Bot JC, Vroomen PC, Eshghi O, Schreuder TH, Heijboer RJ, Keizer K, Tielbeek AV, den Hertog HM, Gerrits DG, van den Berg-Vos RM, Karas GB, Steyerberg EW, Flach HZ, Marquering HA, Sprengers ME, Jenniskens SF, Beenen LF, van den Berg R, Koudstaal PJ, van Zwam WH, Roos YB, van der Lugt A, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Majoie CB, Dippel DW, and Investigators MR CLEAN. A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 372: 11-20, 2015.

8. Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, Eesa M, Rempel JL, Thornton J, Roy D, Jovin TG, Willinsky RA, Sapkota BL, Dowlatshahi D, Frei DF, Kamal NR, Montanera WJ, Poppe AY, Ryckborst KJ, Silver FL, Shuaib A, Tampieri D, Williams D, Bang OY, Baxter BW, Burns PA, Choe H, Heo JH, Holmstedt CA, Jankowitz B, Kelly M, Linares G, Mandzia JL, Shankar J, Sohn SI, Swartz RH, Barber PA, Coutts SB, Smith EE, Morrish WF, Weill A, Subramaniam S, Mitha AP, Wong JH, Lowerison MW, Sajobi TT, Hill MD, and Investigators ESCAPE Trial. Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 372: 1019-1030, 2015.

9. Jovin TG, Chamorro A, Cobo E, de Miquel MA, Molina CA, Rovira A, San Román L, Serena J, Abilleira S, Ribó M, Millán M, Urra X, Cardona P, López-Cancio E, Tomasello A, Castaño C, Blasco J, Aja L, Dorado L, Quesada H, Rubiera M, Hernandez-Pérez M, Goyal M, Demchuk AM, von Kummer R, Gallofré M, Dávalos A, and Investigators REVASCAT Trial. Thrombectomy within 8 hours after symptom onset in ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 372: 2296-2306, 2015.

10. Campbell BC, Mitchell PJ, Kleinig TJ, Dewey HM, Churilov L, Yassi N, Yan B, Dowling RJ, Parsons MW, Oxley TJ, Wu TY, Brooks M, Simpson MA, Miteff F, Levi CR, Krause M, Harrington TJ, Faulder KC, Steinfort BS, Priglinger M, Ang T, Scroop R, Barber PA, McGuinness B, Wijeratne T, Phan TG, Chong W, Chandra RV, Bladin CF, Badve M, Rice H, de Villiers L, Ma H, Desmond PM, Donnan GA, Davis SM, and Investigators EXTEND-IA. Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusion-imaging selection. N Engl J Med 372: 1009-1018, 2015.

11. Saver JL, Goyal M, Bonafe A, Diener HC, Levy EI, Pereira VM, Albers GW, Cognard C, Cohen DJ, Hacke W, Jansen O, Jovin TG, Mattle HP, Nogueira RG, Siddiqui AH, Yavagal DR, Baxter BW, Devlin TG, Lopes DK, Reddy VK, du Mesnil de Rochemont R, Singer OC, Jahan R, and Investigators SWIFT PRIME. Stent-retriever thrombectomy after intravenous t-PA vs. t-PA alone in stroke. N Engl J Med 372: 2285-2295, 2015. 12. Badhiwala JH, Nassiri F, Alhazzani W, Selim MH, Farrokhyar F, Spears J, Kulkarni AV, Singh S, Alqahtani A, Rochwerg B, Alshahrani M, Murty NK, Alhazzani A, Yarascavitch B, Reddy K, Zaidat OO, and Almenawer SA. Endovascular Thrombectomy for Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Meta-analysis. JAMA 314: 1832-1843, 2015.

13. Goyal M, Menon BK, van Zwam WH, Dippel DW, Mitchell PJ, Demchuk AM, Dávalos A, Majoie CB, van der Lugt A, de Miquel MA, Donnan GA, Roos YB, Bonafe A, Jahan R, Diener HC, van den Berg LA, Levy EI, Berkhemer OA, Pereira VM, Rempel J, Millán M, Davis SM, Roy D, Thornton J, Román LS, Ribó M, Beumer D, Stouch B, Brown S, Campbell BC, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Saver JL, Hill MD, Jovin TG, and collaborators HERMES. Endovascular thrombectomy after large-vessel ischaemic stroke: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from five randomised trials. Lancet 387: 1723-1731, 2016.

14. Aronsson M, Persson J, Blomstrand C, Wester P, and Levin L. Cost-effectiveness of endovascular thrombectomy in patients with acute ischemic stroke. Neurology 86: 1053-1059, 2016.

15. Turk AS, Frei D, Fiorella D, Mocco J, Baxter B, Siddiqui A, Spiotta A, Mokin M, Dewan M, Quarfordt S, Battenhouse H, Turner R, Chaudry I. ADAPT FAST study: a direct aspiration first pass technique for acute stroke thrombectomy. J Neurointerv Surg. 2014; 6(4):260-4. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2014-011125

16. Nguyen TN, Malisch T, Castonguay AC, Gupta R, Sun CH, Martin CO, Holloway WE, Mueller-Kronast N, English JD, Linfante I, Dabus G, Marden FA, Bozorgchami H, Xavier A, Rai AT, Froehler MT, Badruddin A, Taqi M, Abraham MG, Janardhan V, Shaltoni H, Novakovic R, Yoo AJ, Abou-Chebl A, Chen PR, Britz GW, Kaushal R, Nanda A, Issa MA, Masoud H, Nogueira RG, Norbash AM, and Zaidat OO. Balloon guide catheter improves revascularization and clinical outcomes with the Solitaire device: analysis of the North American Solitaire Acute Stroke Registry. Stroke 45: 141-145, 2014.

17. Randomized penumbra 3d trial of next generation stent retriever meets primary endpoints. In: The Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery (SNIS) 13th Annual Meeting. Boston, Massachusetts, 2016.