PFO closure consideration for refractory hypoxia and secondary prevention of recurrent arterial thromboembolism
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ABSTRACT

Acute arterial and deep venous thrombosis presenting simultaneously is an uncommon medical emergency, usually secondary to an underlying cause. We report a 64-year-old woman with concurrent bilateral pulmonary emboli and acute thrombotic occlusion of the right brachial artery. Her work-up revealed a large patent foramen ovale (PFO) with a right to left intracardiac shunt and bilateral lower extremity deep venous thrombosis. The patient was unable to be weaned off mechanical ventilation due to her refractory hypoxia. However, after closure of the PFO the patient’s oxygenation improved. This case demonstrates the potential beneficial role of PFO closure in a hypoxic patient with a right to left intracardiac shunt. In addition, closure of the PFO may provide secondary prevention of paradoxical systemic thromboembolism.
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INTRODUCTION

Patent foramen ovale (PFO) is a congenital communication between the left and right atrium of the heart. Approximately 25 percent of the general population has a PFO. These are often incidentally identified on routine transthoracic 2D echocardiograms and can be confirmed by a transesophageal echocardiogram. Patent foramen ovales are associated with several clinical conditions, especially cryptogenic stroke in young patients. Closure of a PFO is currently not recommended in asymptomatic patients without previous cryptogenic stroke.1

There has been controversy whether PFO closure reduces rates of recurrent strokes. Based on the long-term randomized RESPECT trial findings (Recurrent Stroke Comparing PFO Closure to Established Current Standard of Care Treatment trial), the Food and Drug Administration has now approved transcatheter closure of PFOs for the secondary prevention of recurrent stroke.2,3 According to this trial, the risk reduction for recurrent stroke is decreased by approximately 60 percent by PFO closure when compared to medical therapy alone.6 Other trials, such as CLOSE (Patent Foramen Ovale Closure or Anticoagulants versus Antiplatelet Therapy to Prevent Stroke Recurrence) and REDUCE (The Gore REDUCE Clinical Study), similarly demonstrated a risk reduction in recurrent strokes when compared to anti-platelet therapy alone.4,5 These studies were done with young and middle-aged patients under age 60. Furthermore, Tobis and coworkers demonstrated that PFO closure was effective in reducing oxygen requirements among 97 patients with systemic hypoxia and a right to left intracardiac shunt.7

CASE

A 64-year-old woman with no prior cardiac history was transferred from an outside hospital for severe
respiratory distress and the absence of a right upper extremity pulse. She was tachypneic on a Venturi mask with oxygen saturation in the 80s. Initial vital signs in the emergency department included a blood pressure of 120/56 mmHg, a pulse of 89 beats per minute, a temperature of 36.6°C, and a respiratory rate of 23 breaths per minute. On physical examination, the right upper extremity was cool and pulseless. Sensation in her right arm was mildly diminished, and she had flaccid weakness and pain of the right upper extremity. In addition, there was exquisite tenderness over both lower extremities with positive Homan’s signs in both legs. She was alert and oriented to person, place, and time.

She was immediately taken into vascular surgery for arterial thrombectomy after confirmation of an arterial occlusion in the right brachial artery with ultrasound. Additional investigation revealed bilateral pulmonary emboli in the main segmental pulmonary arteries and deep venous thrombi in her lower extremities. On echocardiogram, a large size PFO was found creating a right to left intracardiac shunt (Figure 1 and 2). Further investigation for other causes of arterial thromboembolism and a hypercoagulable state did not demonstrate other hematological or medical disorders. This included work up for factor V Leiden mutation, antithrombin III deficiency, protein C deficiency, and acquired causes, such as malignancy and antiphospholipid antibodies.

The patient was started on a heparin drip. After the right upper extremity thrombectomy, the patient was admitted to the ICU while intubated. Multiple attempts to extubate the patient in the following three days failed, and she was unable to be weaned off ventilator support due to her refractory hypoxia and acute respiratory failure. The case was discussed extensively with the pulmonologist, the intensive care physician, the cardiologist, and her family. It was decided PFO closure might decrease the risk of future paradoxical embolisms and possibly improve her hypoxia likely due to her right to left intracardiac shunt. On day four, the patient underwent a percutaneous PFO closure using a 25 mm Gore PFO Occluder device (W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc., Newark, DE) guided by transesophageal echocardiogram. The following day after PFO closure, the patient was able to be extubated. A repeat transthoracic echocardiogram showed Gore device in place and closure of her PFO (Figure 3). She remained stable with improved oxygenation saturation in the 90s and was discharged home in three days.


**DISCUSSION**

This report demonstrates the utility of PFO closure in patients with simultaneous systemic and venous thromboembolism. In addition, PFO closure may help improve hypoxia in intubated ICU patients with significant right to left intracardiac shunts.

Patent foramen ovale closure may possibly help patients with worsening hypoxemia due to lung diseases, such as severe obstructive sleep apnea, pulmonary fibrosis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Indications of a PFO in these patients would include dyspnea out of proportion to their underlying lung disease. However, PFO-mediated exacerbation of these conditions remains inadequately studied. The size of the right to left shunt and the severity of pulmonary disease likely determine the benefit of PFO closure. Our patient had no prior lung disease. However, she developed severe refractory hypoxemia following a massive bilateral pulmonary embolism and could not be weaned from mechanical ventilation. Her arterial oxygen saturations improved dramatically following percutaneous PFO closure, and she was extubated the next day.

In summary, PFO investigation and possible closure should be considered in patients presenting with arterial and venous thromboembolisms to prevent future paradoxical embolic events. In addition, in patients with no known lung disease or known cause of hypoxia, a PFO should be ruled out as a potential cause of hypoxemia.
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**Figure 3.** TOE showing PFO with a GORE occluder device in place.