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ABSTRACT

Quinine has been used for centuries as a drug for malaria treatment. Chloroquine (CQ) and its 4-aminoquinoline analog hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) have been used during the last two centuries for the treatment and prophylaxis of malaria. Since the inception of COVID-19 in Wuhan, China, in late December 2019, physicians and researchers have tried to use old drugs to treat this pandemic. This review discusses possible modes of action of CQ and HCQ in vitro that might treat this viral infection. The diverse cellular, immunological, and antiviral mechanisms reported in the literature suggest that CQ and HCQ might be useful drugs against COVID-19. Both drugs increase the pH in intracellular organelles, which limits viral replication. However, randomized controlled trials have not found any benefit as either for prophylaxis or treatment of COVID-19. In addition, fatal adverse events associated with these drugs have resulted in the issuance of precautionary measures when recommending these drugs in COVID-19 patients. The search for other innovative drugs to cure COVID-19 and an expedited approval for a vaccine are ongoing at present.
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INTRODUCTION

Chloroquine (CQ) and its analog, hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), are well-known drugs that have been used as antimalarial drugs for the last century. Their parent compound, quinine, was isolated from the bark of the cinchona tree in 1820 by French researchers Pierre Joseph Pelletier and Joseph Bienaimé Caventou. The earliest reported use dates back to the 1630s in Peru when it is claimed that the Countess of Chinchon, from whom the tree’s name was derived, was treated with tree bark extract for an unknown febrile illness that was likely malaria. Around 1894, it started to gain recognition for its benefit in rheumatologic and autoimmune diseases when Payne reported its effectiveness in treating cutaneous lupus.¹ The alkaloids derived from the cinchona tree bark, including CQ and other 4-aminoquinolines, started being investigated at the beginning of 1943 as a part of the antimalarial research program in the United States during World War II. Researchers also observed improvement in rashes and inflammatory joint pain in soldiers, and the first trial of this antimalarial drug as a treatment option for systemic lupus erythematosus was conducted.² Since then, HCQ has been successfully used to treat rheumatologic syndromes (systemic lupus erythematosus,³ antiphospholipid antibody syndrome,⁴ rheumatoid arthritis,⁵ Sjogren’s syndrome⁶), infectious diseases (HIV, Q fever, Whipple’s disease, fungal infections), and multiple neoplasms (breast cancer, colon cancer, glioblastoma multiforme).⁷⁻¹¹
This broad use pattern would suggest that at minimum these drugs are relatively safe.

Since the outbreak of novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (COVID-19), first reported in Wuhan, China, in December 2019, over 26 million people have been infected with over 870,000 deaths as of September 3, 2020. COVID-19 is an acute disease that can be severe and fatal if not timely managed. Severe COVID-19 disease is characterized by extensive alveolar damage with acute hypoxic respiratory failure and death. Beginning in April 2020, autopsy studies have provided important information about this disease. Two extensive studies conducted by Swiss and German researchers that included 21 and 12 COVID patients, respectively, showed that the primary cause of death was respiratory failure with exudative alveolar damage, massive capillary congestion with concomitant microthrombi despite anticoagulation, and superimposed bronchopneumonia in almost half of the cases. A Phase II Clinical Trial to Evaluate the Recombinant Vaccine for COVID-19 (Adenovirus Vector) is being conducted by Chinese researchers; vaccines, of course, have the potential to prevent COVID-19 spread among healthy adults aged 18 years or older. However, no specific antiviral drug has been confirmed to be effective in treating COVID-19, and given the current circumstances, many existing drugs, including HCQ, are being considered for repurposing against Coronavirus 2. Scientific data accumulated over the years have highlighted antiviral properties that could be relevant in COVID-19 treatment.

**General Molecular Mechanism of Action**

Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, in unprotonated forms, generally act as weak bases that affect acidic enzyme containing vesicle organelles, leading to dysfunction. Upon entering the intracellular compartment of the vesicle organelles, such as endosomes, Golgi vesicles, and lysosomes, these drugs become protonated by following a Henderson-Hasselbach reaction. This phenomenon increases the pH of the lysosomal and trans-Golgi network vesicles, leading to multiple effects, including the inhibition of post-translational modification of newly synthesized proteins, impairment of endosomal release of iron from ferrated transferrin, which leads to decreased intracellular iron concentration, and reduced DNA replication and gene expression. These drug effects are characteristic of lysosomotropic agents.

Increases in the pH of the lysosomes and trans-Golgi network by CQ and HCQ affect several cellular processes, including phagocytosis, exosome release, and phagolysosomal fusion. Prevention of phagolysosomal fusion leads to decreased antigen processing by antigen-presenting cells, such as plasmacytoid dendritic cells and B cells, thus causing failure of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II-mediated autoantigen presentation to T cells. As a result, T cell activation, differentiation, and production of co-stimulatory proteins (e.g., CD154 on CD4+ T cells) and T cells and B cells cytokines are inhibited. In addition, HCQ also interrupts the binding between Toll-like receptors (TLR7 and TLR9) and their RNA/DNA ligands and prevents the interaction between cytosolic DNA and the nucleic acid sensor cyclic GMP-AMP synthase, thus suppressing TLR signaling and subsequent activation and production of the inflammatory cytokines IL-1, type I interferons, and TNF, also known as the STING pathway.
Antiviral mechanism of action

Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine are multifunctional drugs that, along with their antirheumatic and antineoplastic activity, also have diverse antiviral activity against both RNA and DNA viruses. They have broad-spectrum activity against enveloped viruses and prevent endosome mediated viral entry and post-translational modification. Usually, upon entering the target cells by endocytosis, some viruses enter the lysosome and release their infectious nucleic acid in the low pH environment. However, CQ and HCQ can inhibit the entry of these viruses by increasing the pH of the lysosome. For example, hepatitis A virus is affected by this mechanism as CQ and HCQ prevents its replication by inhibiting its uncoating.\(^{25}\)

Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine also prevent post-translational modifications such as glycosylation of some enveloped viruses within the endoplasmic and TGN vesicles. Since viral enzyme activity depends on maintaining low pH within the organelles, CQ and HCQ prevent their replication by inhibiting the activity of these enzymes through increases in the pH in these organelles.\(^{26}\) Prevention of glycosylation also leads to non-infectious viral particles and reduced total viral particle production that eventually reduces viral infectivity. This effect has been shown in studies with the avian reticuloendotheliosis virus REV-A and with HIV-1.\(^{27}\) Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine also prevent the proteolytic processing of viral pM protein, which affects the viral replication cycle in a particular viral family called the Flaviviridae.\(^{28}\)

Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine have shown promising antiviral activity in vitro against other RNA viruses, such as poliovirus,\(^{29}\) rabies virus,\(^{30}\) hepatitis C virus,\(^{31}\) influenza A and B viruses,\(^{32-35}\) influenza A H5N1 virus,\(^{36}\) Chikungunya virus,\(^{37}\) Dengue virus,\(^{38}\) Zika virus,\(^{39}\) Lassa virus,\(^{40}\) Hendra and Nipah viruses,\(^{41,42}\) Ebola virus,\(^{43}\) Crimean–Congo hemorrhagic fever virus,\(^{44}\) and DNA viruses, such as herpes simplex virus\(^{45}\) and hepatitis B virus.\(^{46}\) However, in vivo clinical trials sometimes failed to produce satisfactory results perhaps secondary to the disease process, the concentration of chloroquine used, treatment duration, and time of drug administration.\(^{47}\)

Immunomodulatory action

Intracellular accumulation of lysosomotropic weak bases, such as CQ and HCQ, especially in lymphocytes and macrophages, mediates the immunomodulatory effects that have led to their clinical use in inflammatory conditions, including rheumatoid arthritis, lupus erythematosus, and sarcoidosis. Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine reduce the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, specifically tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-\(\alpha\)), from human peripheral blood mononuclear cells and human whole blood in a dose-dependent fashion. Several mechanisms may contribute to this, including disruption of iron homeostasis, interruption of phospholipase A2 induced expression of TNF\(\alpha\), profound inhibition of prohormone processing of pro-TNF-\(\alpha\) to a mature form, and reduction in TNF-alpha mRNA expression by a non-lysosomotropic mechanism.\(^{48-51}\) Surface expression of TNF-alpha is also reduced by CQ and HCQ. These processes subsequently result in impairment of pro-inflammatory signaling and mitigation of cytokine production, such as IL-1 and TNF, which have a significant role in cytokine storm syndrome that may be attenuated by CQ and HCQ. In summary, CQ and HCQ could limit the inflammatory response associated with this viral infection, but this effect could also limit the host defenses needed to clear the virus.

Antiviral action against coronavirus infection

Inhibition of post-translational glycosylation and the subsequent reduction of binding and fusion of SARS-CoV-1 to the host cell receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is an important antiviral effect of CQ and HCQ treatment in SARS-CoV-1 infections. SARS-CoV-2 has been thought to utilize the same mechanism for cellular entry.\(^{52}\) Cleavage of Spike (S) protein in SARS-CoV-2 by CQ and HCQ in autophagosomes has also been reported.\(^{53}\)

The efficacy of CQ and HCQ in vitro against other respiratory viruses, like human coronavirus HCoV-O43 and orthomyxoviruses, has been reported. Respiratory viruses like influenza virus and coronavirus enter cells
by binding to sialic acid-linked ganglioside receptors in the respiratory tract. Sialic acid ganglioside and glycoproteins have been observed as cell-surface attachment factors responsible for high transmissibility. In addition, the transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2) and extracellular matrix metalloproteinase inducer CD147, also called basigin, probably facilitate the entry of SARS-CoV into human cells. However, HCQ was found ineffective in blocking TMPRSS2.

**Clinical Trials on CQ/HCQ Against SARS-CoV-2**

Initially CQ and HCQ were considered for the treatment of COVID-19 based on preclinical in vitro data showing antiviral properties against SARS-CoV-2, even though there were no reports of their use for prevention or treatment.

Since March 2020, multiple clinical trials have been conducted to determine the efficacy of CQ and HCQ in treating COVID-19. Gautret et al. treated 20 patients in a non-randomized clinical trial with HCQ (200 mg three times a day for 10 days) or HCQ plus azithromycin (AZM, 500 mg on day 1 followed by 250 mg/day for the next 4 days) and did serial viral cultures on nasopharyngeal secretions. Seventy percent of the patients had negative viral studies by day six; 12.5% with patients in the untreated group had negative studies (P = 0.001). These investigators suggested starting HCQ treatment well before the patients develop Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) associated with cytokine storm. Huang et al. randomized 22 patients into the 2 treatment arms. Ten patients received chloroquine phosphate, and 12 patients received lopinavir/ritonavir. All patients in the chloroquine group became RT-PCR negative by day 14; 11 of the patients on lopinavir/ritonavir became negative by day 14. The CT images improved faster in the patients on chloroquine phosphate, and these patients were discharged from the hospital earlier. Gao et al. reviewed ongoing trials in China with a chloroquine phosphate in February 2020. These trials included 100 patients and demonstrated that chloroquine phosphate works better than control treatment in inhibiting "exacerbation of pneumonia," improving lung imaging “findings,” promoting virus negative conversion, and shortening the disease course. Rana and Dulal summarized trials using chloroquine in the treatment of COVID-19 from information available in various registries as of March 16, 2020. There were 21 trials listed, but no information was available on outcomes.

A large retrospective study was done in the United States with 368 patients in Veterans Health Administration Medical Centers. These patients were followed over a period of 14 days with one group receiving HCQ or HCQ+AZM combination and the other group receiving conventional supportive care for COVID-19. This study focused on two primary outcomes, namely mechanical ventilation requirement and death. At the end of the trial, the authors concluded that HCQ alone or in combination with AZM showed no benefit with a higher mortality rate in patients treated with HCQ alone (27.8%) and the combination of HCQ+AZM (22.1%) compared to the group receiving no drug treatment (11.4%). In April 2020, the use of optimal HCQ dose for SARS-CoV-2 was encouraged, which required a longer duration of treatment, along with administration of loading dose (800 mg twice a day for 1–2 days followed by 400 mg bid maintenance dose). A recent retrospective observational study with 2,541 patients from the Henry Ford Health System in Southeast Michigan reported that 13% of the cases treated with HCQ alone (1202 patients) resulted in fatality as opposed to a 26.4% fatality rate in cases not treated with hydroxychloroquine (409 patients). Researchers noted a 66% hazard ratio reduction upon HCQ administration alone, and 71% with hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin compared to treatment using neither drug (p < 0.001).

Since these early reports on the use of either hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine were published, several randomized control trials have been completed. Boulware et al. conducted a randomized, double blind, control-placebo controlled trial in the United States and Canada to determine whether hydroxychloroquine can prevent symptomatic infection after SARS-CoV-2 exposure. Eight hundred twenty-one asymptomatic participants were enrolled and received either hydroxychloroquine for 5 days or placebo for 5 days. Forty-nine of 414 participants (11.8%) receiving hydroxychloroquine and 58 of 404 participants (14.3%)...
receiving placebo developed a new illness compatible with COVID-19. This difference was not significant. Mitja and co-investigators studied 293 non-hospitalized patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. These patients had symptoms for fewer than 5 days and were randomized to receive hydroxychloroquine for 6 days or no antiviral treatment. There was no significant difference in the mean viral load at baseline, at 3 days, or at 7 days between the 2 groups. Treatment did not reduce the risk of hospitalization, which was 7.1% in control patients and 5.9% in hydroxychloroquine treated patients. Finally, patients receiving hydroxychloroquine did not have a reduced time to complete resolution of symptoms. Cavalcanti et al. randomized 667 hospitalized patients to hydroxychloroquine for 7 days, hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin for 7 days, or standard care. These patients were either on no supplemental oxygen or less than 4 L/min supplemental oxygen. The primary outcome was a symptom score at 15 days. There was no difference in outcomes among these 3 groups. Pathak and colleagues performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of 7 studies reporting outcomes in COVID-19 patients treated with hydroxychloroquine. This study population included 4,984 patients. Approximately one-third received hydroxychloroquine and two-thirds received standard care. The odds ratio for a favorable outcome with hydroxychloroquine was 1.11 (95% CI: 0.72-1.69, P = 0.20). Consequently, this analysis indicated that hydroxychloroquine has no benefit in patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 disease. In summary, these randomized controlled trials demonstrated that hydroxychloroquine does not prevent symptomatic infection following exposure and does not change the course of mild to moderate COVID-19.

Adverse effects of hydroxychloroquine

Several studies have reported severe QT prolongation and cardiac arrhythmias in patients treated with CQ/HQC. A meta-analysis by Tleyjeh et al., including 19 studies with 5,652 patients, reported two episodes of torsades de pointes in 2719 patients treated with CQ/HQC. The pooled incidence of this meta-analysis reported torsades de pointes or cardiac arrest or ventricular tachycardia in 3 out of 1000 patients. QT prolongation from the baseline was estimated 7% from 12 studies of 2,008 patients. Garci-Cremades et al. explained the effect of high dose HCQ (>600 mg b.i.d for ≥ 5 days) on prolonging QTc value form baseline. A study with 84 SARS-CoV-2 patients from New York University’s Langone Medical Center reported that 30% of the patients had their QTc increase by >40 ms, and 11% of patients had their QTc increased to >500 ms. However, a recent multi-center retrospective observational study from the Henry Ford Health System (HFHS) documented zero case of torsades de pointes in the 2,132 patients treated with HCQ alone, HCQ+AZM, or AZM alone.

Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine are associated with other adverse effects, including vomiting and diarrhea. Hydroxychloroquine is associated with important neurological side effects, including muscular weakness, diplopia, dyskinesia, seizures, and myasthenic syndrome with short-term use. Psychiatric side effects include sleeplessness, agitation, psychosis, depression, anxiety, and aggressiveness; confusion has also been reported, starting within a few days after the beginning of treatment. Chloroquine has a worse safety profile than HCQ, as a one-time dose of 20 mg/kg CQ can be toxic, and doses above 30 mg/kg CQ are considered fatal. A recent report also suggests that methemoglobinemia can occur in patients treated with COVID-19, including patients not having previous history of G6PD deficiency. Long term exposure can cause severe side effects, such as retinopathy, “bull’s eye” maculopathy, diametric defects in the retina, and cardiomyopathy. In contrast to CQ, HCQ has a lower level of tissue accumulation, which results in fewer adverse events. Chloroquine is contraindicated in pregnant patients due to its teratogenic effects, while HCQ is recommended for pregnant patients with autoimmune diseases, such as SLE due to its capacity to prevent heart block. Based on the recent clinical data, in July 2020, the FDA issued a caution against CQ and HCQ’s being used to treat hospitalized patients.

Conclusions

The global health crisis of the COVID-19 pandemic has made researchers consider repurposing old drugs, such as CQ, HCQ, AZM, lopinavir, and ritonavir. Current
literature describing the diverse mechanisms of action CQ and HCQ makes these drugs favorable candidates for pre- and post-infection use. However, several studies have reported no benefit with these drugs, and fatal adverse events with CQ and HCQ have resulted in the issuance of precautionary measures when recommending these drugs in COVID-19 patients. Larger randomized, dose determining, controlled clinical trials must be done before making any final recommendation for CQ and HCQ use in COVID-19.
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